

Rafael Tolosana José Ángel Bañares

Engineering & Architecture School - Zaragoza University, Spain banares@unizar.es, rafaelt@unizar.es

Omer F. Rana

School of Computer Science & Informatics - Cardiff University, UK o.f.rana@cs.cardiff.ac.uk

Liana Cigcigan

Institute of Energy, School of Engineering- Cardiff University, UK CipCiganLM@cardiff.ac.uk

Panagiotis Papadoulos

EDF Energy, R&D Center, London. UK papadopoulosp@cardiff.ac.uk

Congduc Pham Laboratoire informatique-Université de Pau, France congduc.pham@univ-pau.fr A distributed In-Transit Processing Infrastructure for Forecasting Electric Vehicle Charging Demand



DPMSS'13 Delft

2nd Iternational Worshop on Data-intensive Process Management in Large-Scale Sensor Systems: From Sensor Networks to Sensor Clouds

May13, 2013. Delft, The Netherlands



Motivation

- 72% of global oil demand correspond to transportation.
- Economic, security, and environmental pressures to electrify transportation





Impact on the grid

- A single EV plugged into a fast charger can double a home's peak electricity demand.
- Most serious concern utilities have is controlling when EV load is applied to their grid.
 - Most consumers will charge when they get home from work
 - Just one or two active (L2) charger could overload a transformer, creating reliability problems in 40% of US distribution transformers.

Potential Transformer Overloading Without EVs With EVs 6.6 kW Overload Maximum transformer loading (~38kW*) Available 6.6 kW capacity 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW Transformer loading 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW 7 kW



Utility Challenges

- Utilities can mitigate the impact of charging stations on the grid
 - Distributing charging requirements over time, utilities can maximize the utilization of their infrastructure
 - Leverage EVSE communication investment for other energy initiatives.









Smart meters

Bi-directional, realtime communication between utility & consumer

Yogesh Simmhan (Centre for Energy Informatics, Univ. of Southern California)





Hierarchical Division





Data management and computation requirements

- Handling concurrent heterogeneous data streams: Different rate, frequency and sample size. For example: vehicle and charging point data, weather and traffic agencies, etc.
- **Different** data stream **relevance**, with **behaviour** of varying complexity, different computational complexity.
- **Different Quality of Service (QoS)** constraints to limit data loss and latency.
- Sharing computational resources in a elastic way in each node







• 3 key components / node: Token Bucket, Processing Unit & output streaming



Token Bucket (shaping traffic)

Traffic Rate

Time

Traffic shaping component allows to control the traffic going out this component in order to match its flow to the processing speed of available resources and to ensure that the traffic conforms to policies contracted for it



Time

A **policer** typically drops excess traffic.

A **shaper** typically delays excess traffic using a buffer to hold data and shape the flow when the data rate of the source is higher than expected.



Token Bucket (shaping traffic)



Two key parameters of interest:

- **R**: Also called the **committed information rate** (CIR), it specifies how much data can be sent or forwarded per unit time on average
- **B**: it specifies for each burst how much data can be sent within a given time without creating scheduling concerns











Each token bucket provides us **tunable** parameters: R,b

Controller: monitors & modifies behaviour



Control for Elastic SLA definitions

Controller: monitors & modifies behaviour

- Token bucket behaviour is regulated by b, R parameters
- SLAs can specify more flexible behaviours allowing the controller to take different actions when a threshold is reached
 - Load-shedding: drop data stored by the token bucket buffer
 - Modify the mean injection rate R



Business rules

	Pattern	Action
1	E: B_i over threshold C: SLA_i allows control the addition of N_i re- sources	$\Delta NumRes_{ij} = min(N_i, (\lambda_{ij} - R_{ij})/\hat{\delta_{ij}})$ $\Delta R_{ij} = \lambda_{ij} - R_{ij}$
2	E: B_i over threshold C: SLA_i allows control the use of free resources	$\Delta R_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^n NumRes_{ij} * \hat{\delta_{ij}} - \sum_{i=1}^n R_{ij}$
3	E: B_i over threshold C: SLA_i allows control to drop D_{ij}	$B_{ij} = B_{ij} - D_{ij}$
4	E: Throughput degrada- tion C: SLA_i allows control to add N_i resources	$\Delta NumRes_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ij} / \delta_{ij} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ij} / \hat{\delta_{ij}}$
5	E: B_i above threshold C: Contolled Stream	$\Delta R_{ij} = 0 \ \Delta NumRes_{ij} = 0$
6	E: Overthrow C: Contolled Stream	$\Delta NumRes_{ij}=0$



PETRI NET MODELS





Self adaptation with different performance constraints









Load-shedding (dropping data)









Processing rate change



Universidad Zaragoza UNIVERSITY CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CARDIFF CARDIFF UNIVERSITY CARDIFF CARD

Conclusion

- EV vehicles load forecast and Identify Charging Schedules for EVs requires to control the data injection rate
- **Token bucket parameters** can provide a flexible injection of bursty data isolating each data stream.
- **Business rules** can adapt token Bucket parameters to control data stream priorities.

Future Work

- Develop efficient mechanisms
 - Token bucket models that implement business strategies
- Validation in real scenarios: Smart grid, sensor network, Ev charging schedules



Rafael Tolosana

rafaelt@unizar.es

José Ángel Bañares

banares@unizar.es

Omer F. Rana

o.f.rana@cs.cardiff.ac.uk

Liana Cigcigan

CipCiganLM@cardiff.ac.uk

Panagiotis Papadoulos

papadopoulosp@cardiff.ac.uk

Congduc Pham

congduc.pham@univ-pau.fr

A distributed In-Transit Processing Infrastructure for Forecasting Electric Vehicle Charging Demand

DPMSS 2013

